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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a rudimentary text-based retrieval system is being developed. The goal of this paper is to test the effect of different combinations of similarity measures and word embeddings in a retrieval system on its results. For calculating this similarity measure only text features are used. This paper compares the results of tf-idf, word2vec as well as the transformer-based BERT. The similarity measure is in all cases the cos-sim-similarity.

1 Introduction

The increasing availability of digital libraries has paved the way for a new generation of music recommender systems. Music recommendation systems play a pivotal role in helping users discover new tracks, artists, and genres and thus drive customer satisfaction in a significant way.

The purpose of this paper is to evaluate and compare 4 different approaches to music retrieval systems. Within the scope of this paper all features are going to be text-based.

Concretely, the cos-sim-similarity is used as a similarity measure and tf-idf, word2vec and BERT as word embeddings.

The resulting recommendations will be evaluated qualitatively according to the similarity to the queried song.

The data set used for testing the 4 retrieval systems is a subset of the Music4All-Onion dataset which was kindly provided by the university.

2 Methodology

For implementing the assignment, the programming language Python is used as it is most suitable for data analysis and data science purposes. The coding environment used is Jupyter Notebook as it supports the programming language Python. We also used the libraries NumPy and pandas as well as Scikit-learn which provide us with different similarity functions. The code repository is hosted on the platform GitHub. The coordination and integration of code contributions of each team member is therefore ensured using Git.

To ensure that new functionality as well as new algorithms can easily be added to the text-based music retrieval system in the future, a large focus is set on making the code modular.

The input of the system is the string which contains the name and the artist of the query track. The recommender system should output a list of songs with the title and the artist-

To be able to better analyze the results of the recommender system this output of the script is saved in a Python dictionary.

To keep the code modular and make it reusable we first implemented some basic functions in a separate Python file. First, we defined a function to get information about the artist and song name from the IDs. The function takes a list of ids as input and returns a list of tuples of strings containing the name and artist of tracks as the output. Then we implemented a function, which can be used for all text-based analysis. The function itself is called “text-based” and takes as input parameters “id”, the id of the query song, “repr” the string which represents the word embedding used in the data, for example, the tf-idf, “N”, the number of tracks retrieved as well as the similarity function for example Cosine similarity or Euclidean similarity. These measures help increase the flexibility and reusability of the code. Then we imported the functions into the main file where they can be called with different input parameters.

2.1 The dataset

Music4All-Onion is a large-scale, multi-modal music data set, which expands the Music4All-dataset with additional features and meta-data. For this task, only text-based features are considered (i.e.: title, lyrics, artist, album name and ID). [1]

The provided data is presented in four TSV files (Tab-Separated Values file) this type of file is similar to the CSV (Comma-Separated Values) data format, but it uses tabs to separate the values. To read these files we use the Pandas library which interprets the files as data frames.

The four files are:

* id\_information\_mmsr.tsv: contains the track IDs in the first column, the artist, song, and album name are contained in the remaining columns.
* id\_lyrics\_bert\_mmsr.tsv: presents the data using the BERT feature vectors with one column for the IDs.
* id\_lyrics\_tf-idf\_mmsr.tsv: presents the data using the Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency feature vectors with one column for the IDs.
* id\_lyrics\_word2vec\_mmsr.tsv: presents the data using the word2vec feature vectors with one column containing the IDs.

**BERT:** is a text-based word-embedding model trained on a collection of words that is capable of capturing rich contextual information in natural language. This allows BERT to understand the meanings of words in a given phrase. This method is widely used in NLP tasks.

**TF-IDF:** this method is the mix of two components TF (Term Frequency) and IDF (Inverse Document Frequency), this combination yields a vector capturing the relevance of words in a document relative to a corpus.

TF calculates the occurrence of a word for a given document on the other hand IDF calculates a word's importance for a given collection of documents the product of IDF by TF yields the relative importance of a word to a document within the overall corpus.

**Word2vec:** is an embedding technique that uses vector space. Words with similar context or meaning have similar vector representations (close vectors in the vector space). Through this, semantic relationships between words can be captured. This method is based on representing each word as a high-dimensional feature vector and training a neural network to learn their continuous vector space representation.

2.2 Random baseline

For the random baseline, we first shuffled the songs in a random order, so we get a different result each time the function is called. Then we excluded the query song from the data frame, so it does not appear in the result list. Afterwards, we retrieve the top N random songs and stored them in the result list.

2.3 Cos-sim based on tf-idf

To calculate the cosine-similarity of the tf-idf representation of the lyrics, we created a wrapper function called “cos\_sim” that takes two Numpy-arrays as input and reshapes them to 2d arrays so they can be used in the cosine similarity function which is provided by the Scikit-learn library. The result of the cos\_sim function is the similarity score of the two arrays. The similarity function cos\_sim is then passed to the “text\_based” function, as well as the query song-id and the dataset containing the tf-idf values. The first thing the test\_based function does is search for the query song in the tf-idf dataset and extract the row vector representing the queried song. Then we create an array which is called similarities to store the similarity scores.

Afterwards, the “text\_based” function iterates through all the rows in the tf-idf dataset. The similarities between the query-vector and track-vector are then calculated using the cos\_sim function. The song-id as well as the similarity-score are then saved in the similarities list. Afterwards, we sort the list in decreasing order of the similarity score and retrieve the ids of the 10 most similar songs.

2.4 Cos-sim based on word2vec

The next retrieval system uses the word2vec-embedding with the cos-sim-similarity measure. The flow of the code is the same as tf-idf. So only one parameter has to be changed when calling the function.

2.5 Cos-sim based on BERT

As the last retriever that we have implemented for this project, we have chosen cosine similarity as its similarity function and lyric representation generated by BERT. As mentioned above, we have adopted a modularized scheme when implementing the text-based function. Thus, for this step, we just set the repr parameter of the text\_base function to “bert”, and the sim\_func parameter to “cos-sim.” The flow of execution is the same as when using the other two representations.

3 Qualitative Analysis

For the qualitative analysis, we selected 3 tracks for each retrieval system and retrieved 10 tracks for each query track:

**3.1 Random baseline**

The random baseline function will generate a random set of tracks every time it is called, irrespective of the query track. It often returns songs of different genres that are unrelated to the query track.

**3.2 RS<Cos-sim, tf-idf>**

Query Track 1: Love me by the 1975:

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | Oh Yeah | Artist | Big Time Rush |
| Song | The Gospel | Artist | Alicia Keys |
| Song | Fire Starter | Artist | Demi Lovato |
| Song | Rat Fink | Artist | Misfits |
| Song | How Bad Do You Want It (Oh Yeah) | Artist | Sevyn Streeter |
| Song | Yeah! (feat. Lil Jon & Ludacris | Artist | Usher |
| Song | Regarde-moi | Artist | Céline Dion |
| Song | Miss Independent | Artist | Ne-Yo |
| Song | Euphoria | Artist | BTS |
| Song | Let There Be Love | Artist | Simple Minds |

In the results, there are songs of different genres like R&B, K-Pop, Pop, Indie-Rock, and Punk. The lyrics of the query song “Love me” contain many occurrences of the tokens “yeah” and “love”. By examining the lyrics of the retrieved songs, we also noticed a high number of occurrences of the token “Yeah”. The tracks “Let There Be Love” and “Miss Independent” also exhibit a high occurrence of the word “Love” respectively. Other than that, the query song and the retrieved songs do not have so much in common and come from different genres.

Query Track 2: One by U2

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | One | Artist | Mary J. Blige |
| Song | One Love (feat. Estelle) | Artist | David Guetta |
| Song | Love the One You're With | Artist | Stephen Stills |
| Song | One | Artist | Alanis Morissette |
| Song | No One | Artist | Alicia Keys |
| Song | One Tribe (Defqon.1 2019 Anthem) | Artist | Phuture Noize |
| Song | You Can Be the One | Artist | Late Night Alumni |
| Song | Rape Me | Artist | Nirvana |
| Song | Palavras No Corpo | Artist | Gal Costa |
| Song | No One in the World | Artist | Anita Baker |

For the second query track, we picked “One” by U2. The data also includes a cover version of this song by Mary J. Blige, which appears first in the result set because of the identical lyrics. The results also display a diversity in genre. The genre of the query track best described as a rock ballad, whereas the genre of the retrieved songs ranges from the genres R&B, Grunge, EDM and Pop-Rock. There is also one Spanish song in the results.

Query Track 3: Every Christmas by Kelly Clarkson

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | Christmas Conga | Artist | Cyndi Lauper |
| Song | Three Ships | Artist | Cyndi Lauper |
| Song | Hellhound On My Trail | Artist | Robert Johnson |
| Song | St. Patrick's Day | Artist | John Mayer |
| Song | Last Christmas | Artist | Carly Rae Jepsen |
| Song | My Only Wish (This Year) | Artist | Britney Spears |
| Song | Christmas Vacation | Artist | Descendents |
| Song | Last Christmas - Studio Version | Artist | Jimmy Eat World |
| Song | The Christmas Song (Merry Christmas To You) | Artist | Nat King Cole |
| Song | I Shut Doors and Windows | Artist | September Malevolence |

For the third query, we analyzed a Christmas song because Christmas songs usually contain recurring tokens in the lyrics, for example, the tokens “year”, “wish” or “mistletoe”. As we can see in the results, we retrieved 9 Christmas songs and one song with a different theme. The song “I Shut Doors and Windows” by September Malevolence could not be described as a Christmas song but also contains one occurrence of the token “Christmas” in its lyrics. The results include two tracks from the same artist “Cyndi Lauper”, which also happens to be from the same Christmas-themed album.

**3.3 RS<Cos-sim, word2vec>**

Query song 1: Love me by the 1975:

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | Miss Independent | Artist | Ne-Yo |
| Song | If Our Love Is Wrong | Artist | Calum Scott |
| Song | Looking For Clues | Artist | Robert Palmer |
| Song | Out on the Tiles | Artist | Led Zeppelin |
| Song | So Much Love | Artist | The Rocket Summer |
| Song | Let There Be Love | Artist | Simple Minds |
| Song | In the Evening | Artist | Led Zeppelin |
| Song | All You Got | Artist | Tegan and Sara |
| Song | Rosalyn | Artist | David Bowie |
| Song | How Bad Do You Want It (Oh Yeah) | Artist | Sevyn Streeter |

The results contains two different tracks by the same artist “Led Zeppelin”. It is noticed that the result set contains several tracks by British artists. The artist who made the query track is also a British Band. which leads us to the speculation that the cluster might be attributed to different language use between British English and American English. The genres of the retrieved tracks are Rock, Pop, R&B and Rap, when ranked in decreasing order.

Query Track 2: One by U2

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | One | Artist | Mary J. Blige |
| Song | One Love (feat. Estelle) | Artist | David Guetta |
| Song | Quien Eres Tu (Feat. Trey Songz) | Artist | María José |
| Song | Dance With The One That Brought You | Artist | Shania Twain |
| Song | Apocalyptic | Artist | Halestorm |
| Song | I Will Survive - Extended Version | Artist | Gloria |
| Song | King For A Day | Artist | Thompson Twins |
| Song | Never Let Me Down | Artist | Kanye West |
| Song | Fica Mais um Pouco Amor | Artist | Emicida |
| Song | I Will Survive | Artist | Gloria Gaynor |

For the query track “One” by “U2”, the cover version by Mary J. Blige ranks the first in the results, just like we did with the tf-idf representation. We also retrieved one Spanish and one Portuguese song. The Genres of the retrieved track are Rock, Pop, Electronica, Country, Hip-Hop, Samba and Pagode, when rank in decreasing order of similarity.

Query Track 3: Every Christmas by Kelly Clarkson

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | St. Patrick's Day | Artist | John Mayer |
| Song | Junesong Provision | Artist | Coheed and Cambria |
| Song | My Only Wish (This Year) | Artist | Britney Spears |
| Song | PERFECT! | Artist | WJSN |
| Song | Si Tu Novio Te Deja Sola | Artist | J Balvin |
| Song | So Doggone Lonesome | Artist | Johnny Cash |
| Song | The Best Day | Artist | Taylor Swift |
| Song | Wait For You | Artist | Elliott Yamin |
| Song | Jesus Christ | Artist | Brand New |
| Song | Alone (feat. Big Sean & Stefflon Don) | Artist | Halsey |

For the third track, the result includes one Korean song and one song in Spanish. We retrieved all kinds of different genres like country, Rock, and Pop. The entropy of the genre is thus high. Not as many Christmas themed songs are included in the results compared to the results obtained from other data.

**3.4 Cos-sim based on BERT**

Query song 1: “Love me” by “The 1975”

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | Dance Gavin Dance | Artist | Thug City |
| Song | Shine | Artist | Take That |
| Song | One, Two, Three, GO! | Artist | Belanova |
| Song | Right There | Artist | Ariana Grande |
| Song | Bing Bing | Artist | Crayon Pop |
| Song | Come Get It Bae | Artist | Pharrell Williams |
| Song | We Made You | Artist | Eminem |
| Song | Here I Am | Artist | Monica |
| Song | Wannabe | Artist | why mona |
| Song | Edge of the World | Artist | Faith No More |

For the results generated by the first query track with the BERT data, none of the ten retrieved tracks appears in the result from the other two datasets. In terms of genre, the results show a similar pattern result to the other two datasets. The genres that appeared in the results including Pop, Indie Rock, R&B, Funk, Hip Hop and K-pop, showed no effects on the result.

Query song 2: “One” by “U2”

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | One | Artist | Mary J. Blige |
| Song | What About Love | Artist | Austin Mahone |
| Song | All of Your Glory | Artist | Broods |
| Song | La Tortura | Artist | Shakira |
| Song | Love One Another | Artist | Cher |
| Song | Black Lake | Artist | Björk |
| Song | El Triste | Artist | José José |
| Song | Love Makes the World Go Round | Artist | Ashlee Simpson |
| Song | Keep It Together | Artist | Madonna |
| Song | U Want Me 2 | Artist | Sarah McLachlan |

The results generated with the second query track and the BERT data, again, do not show similarity with the results obtained from the other two data with the sole exception being “One” by Mary J. Blige. As explained in the section above. It is a cover version of the query song. Therefore, its lyrics is identical to that of the query song. In terms of genre, most tracks appeared in the results belong to the Pop genre, different from Rock, the genre of the query song.

Query song 3: “Every Christmas” by “Kelly Clarkson”

Result list:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Song | My Only Wish (This Year) | Artist | Britney Spears |
| Song | Christmas Conga | Artist | Cyndi Lauper |
| Song | Merry Christmas, Kiss My Ass | Artist | All Time Low |
| Song | St. Patrick's Day | Artist | John Mayer |
| Song | The Christmas Song (Merry Christmas To You) | Artist | Nat King Cole |
| Song | Last Christmas | Artist | Carly Rae Jepsen |
| Song | Next Year | Artist | Foo Fighters |
| Song | December's Boudoir | Artist | Laura Nyro |
| Song | Last Xmas | Artist | Allie X |
| Song | Santa Claus Is Coming To Town | Artist | The Jackson 5 |

In the results generated by the third query track with the BERT data, again, five of the retrieved tracks appear in the result from other datasets. Also, in terms of genre, most tracks belong to the Pop genre, which could be attributed to the theme of the song, Christmas. Christmas music is known to be associated with instrumentals, Carol and Pop genre. It is also worth mentioning that the song “St. Patricks Day”, which appears in the results obtained from other datasets, is also included in the results. An examination of the lyrics reveals that, despite the title of the song being St Patricks Day, there are repeated references to words such as "cold", "snow", "December" and other words that might be found in other Christmas songs, as well as the phrase "Christmas times" itself appears three times.

4. Audio-based retrieval systems

For the audio-based retrieval systems we used the same 3 query Songs as we used for Task1. We used the following representations: MFCC stats, blf correlation, ivec256 and musicnn. For all these representations we calculated the cosine similarity. The results for all 3 query tracks are displayed in the main.ipynb file.

5. Methodology & Implementation

5.1 Precision & Recall

Recall and Precision are measures that show how well a retrieval system retrieves relevant information. The precision is the ratio of true positives and total retrieved results. The recall is the ratio of true positives and the actual number of positives. [2]

For the calculation of precision and recall we first obtained the genres of our retrieved results and put them into a list which consists of ids and genres of retrieved songs. This list is one of the parameters for the precision\_at\_k function. We also need k as a parameter as well as the id and genre of the query track. Afterwards we store the top k results into a variable and compare the genres of the top k results with the query genre and count how many of the retrieved results are relevant (a result is relevant if it has at least one common genre with the query track). Finally, we divide the relevant retrieved results by k.

For the calculation of the recall, we need one more parameter which is the whole genres dataset. The method calculates the number of retrieved relevant songs as well as the number of relevant songs in the whole genres dataset and then divides the relevant retrieved songs by all relevant songs.

**5.2 Genre diversity**

This method measures the diversity of genres of a top k retrieved tracks given a query. It calculates how the genres are evenly distributed over k retrieved tracks.

We can break down the formula into two parts:

Genre distribution:

We initialize a vector of zeros with a length equal to the number of all genres existing in the dataset, then for every genre found in each retrieved track, we add one to the corresponding genre position in the zeros vector divided by the number of genres of the retrieved track.

So, this could be considered as the normalized attribution of each genre within the retrieved track genres to the overall genres in the dataset.

Normalize of the distribution:

We divide the resulting vector by the number of the retrieved tracks. To get the genre diversity we calculate Shannon’s entropy of the resulting vector.

This is calculated by taking the negative sum of all the items of the resulting vector multiplied by its logarithm (base 2).

Formula in python:

For this formula, we defined a function called diversity which takes genres\_retrived, all\_genres and N as input parameters.

Genre\_retrived: it is a list of sets containing the genres of the retrieved tracks.

All\_genres: list of all unique genres in the whole dataset

N: is the number of retrieved tracks. The formula should return the genre diversity@k. We first define the zeros vector (zeros\_vec) with the length of all\_genres, and then we run through all the retrieved genres of every retrieved track.

Position: takes the index of the retrieved genre in the whole dataset's unique genres.

We calculate each retrieved genre contribution by dividing one by the length of the retrieved set of genres and we store it in a variable called g\_i\_contribution. Afterwards, we accumulate the attribution to the zeros\_vec in the giving position. After running through all the genres\_retrieved we divide the zeros\_vec by N and we assign the result to the variable: result\_vec. Next, we move on to the second part of the formula (Shannon’s Entropy) that returns the genre diversity@k. We initialize the diversity variable to zero.

We run through all the items in the result\_verc and if it is different than zero then multiply it by its logarithm (base 2) and the result should be accumulated into the diversity variable.

The function will then return the negative diversity which represents the genre diversity@k.

5.3 Genre coverage

This metric is similar to the Genre Diversity described in the last chapter a way to measure the quality of the audiobased-retrieval-system beyond using accuracy. For this research project the Genre Coverage is defined as the proportion of the number of unique genres present within at least one the top k retrieved tracks and the number of unique genres within the dataset itself.

6. Evaluation

6.1 Accuracy

The first query Track which is “Love Me” by “The 1975” is assigned to the genres: pop, rock, indie pop, electro pop, indie rock, funk, and funk rock. We achieved a high precision of 90 % with all the audio-based representations except for the ivec256 where we only achieved a precision of 50 %. We achieved the highest recall of 0.125 % with the “MFCC Stats”, the “Blf Correlation” and the “musicnn” features. The recall is relatively low for all retrieval systems because the dataset consists of a large number of tracks, and we only retrieve 10 tracks with each system. Also, the genres pop and rock are very common genres so there might be many songs in the dataset assigned to these genres, therefore we have many relevant documents in the dataset which are not retrieved with our systems.

The second query Track “One” by “U2” is assigned to the genres rock, classic rock, pop, alternative rock, soft rock, easy listening and Irish rock. We achieved a precision of 80 % with the “blf-correlation”, “ivec256” and “musicnn” representations. With these three we also obtained the highest recall of 0.114 % With the mfcc stats we only get a precision of 60 %.

The third query Track “Every Christmas” by “Kelly Clarkson” is only assigned to one genre which is pop. With the audio-based retrieval system we achieved the highest precision of 60 % with the “blf-correlation” representation. With the text-based retrieval system using we were able to achieve a precision of 90 % using the Bert representation. The reason for this overall lower precision for query track 3 could be that it is only assigned to one genre, whereas the other two query tracks belong to several genres. With the audio-based retrieval systems we obtained the highest recall for query track 3 with the “blf-correlation” feature with 0.143 %.

In the precision-recall curves we plotted for all three query tracks we can see how the number of k affects the precision and recall. We note that, as the value of k increases, also the recall increases.
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Figure 1: Precision-Recall Curve Track1
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Figure 2: Precision-Recall Curve Track2

Ein Bild, das Text, Screenshot, Diagramm, Reihe enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung

Figure 3: Precision-Recall Curve Track3

Results (rounded to 5 digits)

Query Track 1: Love Me by The 1975

Table 1: Evaluation Results Track 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Precision@10 | Recall@10 | nDCG@10 | Coverage@10 | Diversity@10 |
| Audio-based(cosine, mfcc\_stats) | 0.9 | 0.00125 | 0.76718 | 0.02878 | 4.51273 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  Blf-correlation) | 0.9 | 0.00125 | 0.82250 | 0.02878 | 4.43499 |
| Audio-based(cosine, ivec 256) | 0.5 | 0.00070 | 0.93006 | 0.03957 | 4.69441 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  musicnn) | 0.9 | 0.00125 | 0.96787 | 0.03058 | 4.32781 |
| Text-based(cosine, tf-idf) | 0.7 | 0.00097 | 0.85336 | 0.02878 | 4.30428 |
| Text-based(cosine,  word2vec) | 0.9 | 0.00125 | 0.73128 | 0.05486 | 5.26926 |
| Text-based(cosine, Bert) | 0.9 | 0.00125 | 0.77874 | 0.06205 | 4.98983 |
| Text-based(Random-Baseline) | 0.6 | 0.00083 | 0.67518 | 0.04317 | 5.24167 |

Query Track 2: One by U2

Table 2: Evaluation Results Track 2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Precision@10 | Recall@10 | nDCG@10 | Coverage@10 | Diversity@10 |
| Audio-based(cosine, mfcc\_stats) | 0.6 | 0.00085 | 0.75426 | 0.02968 | 4.46326 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  Blf-correlation) | 0.8 | 0.00114 | 0.70208 | 0.03957 | 4.94064 |
| Audio-based(cosine, ivec 256) | 0.8 | 0.00114 | 0.83261 | 0.04856 | 5.02604 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  musicnn) | 0.8 | 0.00114 | 0.74983 | 0.03237 | 4.48012 |
| Text-based(cosine, tf-idf) | 0.6 | 0.00085 | 0.85755 | 0.03956 | 4.98059 |
| Text-based(cosine,  word2vec) | 0.4 | 0.00057 | 0.84163 | 0.02518 | 4.41128 |
| Text-based(cosine,  Bert) | 0.8 | 0.00114 | 0.88648 | 0.03866 | 4.73847 |
| Text-based(Random-Baseline) | 0.5 | 0.00071 | 0.65161 | 0.03327 | 4.88552 |

Query Track 3 : Every Christmas by Kelly Clarkson

Table 3: Evaluation Results Track 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Precision@10 | Recall@10 | nDCG@10 | Coverage@10 | Diversity@10 |
| Audio-based(cosine, mfcc\_stats) | 0.5 | 0.00119 | 0.57058 | 0.05845 | 5.33913 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  Blf-correlation) | 0.6 | 0.00143 | 0.61340 | 0.04946 | 4.84336 |
| Audio-based(cosine, ivec 256) | 0.5 | 0.00119 | 0.58630 | 0.05486 | 5.37249 |
| Audio-based(cosine,  musicnn) | 0.4 | 0.00167 | 0.59099 | 0.04856 | 5.14491 |
| Text-based(cosine, tf-idf) | 0.6 | 0.00143 | 0.95267 | 0.03507 | 4.30369 |
| Text-based(cosine,  word2vec) | 0.7 | 0.00167 | 0.73712 | 0.04676 | 5.33174 |
| Text-based(cosine,  Bert) | 0.9 | 0.00214 | 0.76511 | 0.03776 | 4.24776 |
| Text-based (Random-Baseline) | 0.3 | 0.00071 | 0.49639 | 0.03417 | 4.52973 |
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